IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES	*	
	*	CDIM NO
V.	*	CRIM. NO.
	*	
	*	
OPDER RECARDING USE OF V	***** VIDEO CONFER	ENCING/TELECONFERENCING
		SENTENCINGS
-		
In accordance with Standing Or	der 2020-06, this	Court finds:
That the Defendant (or the Juv	enile) has consente	ed to the use of video
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to co	onduct the proceed	ing(s) held today, after consultation
with counsel; and		
That the proceeding(s) to be he	eld today cannot b	e further delayed without serious harm
to the interests of justice, for the follow	ving specific reason	ns:
•		
Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on	this date may be o	conducted by:
Video Teleconferencing		
Teleconferencing, because vic	leo teleconferencia	ng is not reasonably available for the
following reason:		
The Defendant (or the	e Juvenile) is detain	ned at a facility lacking video
teleconferencing capability.		
Other:		
		
		Jul. ! wry
Date:		/

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Honorable Freda L. Wolfson

Crim. No. 20-684 (FLW)

v.

EXHIBIT TO ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO

CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING FOR FELONY PLEAS AND/OR

SENTENCINGS

RONDELL HILL

The Court finds that the sentencing hearing to be held on February 18, 2021 cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

- 1. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters by guilty plea and sentencing, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court's ability to effectively function. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that: (1) it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity (including, among other things, the empanelment of trial juries) and (2) this District's pre-existing shortage of District Court Judges which already has challenged the Court's ability to process and resolve cases. This District has six District Judge vacancies: two have been pending for more than five years; one has been pending almost three years; two have been pending for more than a year; and one has been pending almost a year. The Federal Judicial Conference has deemed the District's six vacancies judicial emergencies.
- 2. To permit the defendant to obtain a speedy resolution of his case through a timely sentencing to afford appropriate punishment and rehabilitation. The defendant has asked for a timely sentencing in

- this matter. The Court is expected to have a substantial backlog of cases on its docket at the conclusion of this period of emergency. At this time, the Court cannot accurately predict where the defendant's case will be prioritized within that backlogged docket. As a result, the defendant's interest in a speedy resolution of his case will be seriously harmed if the proceeding does not occur today.
- 3. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government has asked for a timely sentencing in this matter.